

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
_	09/883,623	06/18/2001	Pavitra Subramaniam	5306P016	4377	
	8791	7590 04/07/2006		EXAMINER		
		SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN		ALAUBAIDI,	ALAUBAIDI, HAYTHIM J	
	12400 WILSI SEVENTH F	HIRE BOULEVARD LOOR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	LOS ANGEL	ES, CA 90025-1030		2168		
				DATE MAILED: 04/07/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/883,623	SUBRAMANIAM ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Haythim J. Alaubaidi	2168
The MAILING DATE of this communic Period for Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commur - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statu - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply wi Any reply received by the Office later than three months afte earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re nication. Itory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT ill, by statute, cause the application to become ABA	CATION. Sply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b 3) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice.	b)⊠ This action is non-final. or allowance except for formal matte	·
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-3,5-10 and 12-31 is/are per 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3,5-10 and 12-31 is/are rejiction 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and 12-31 is/are rejicalized to a subject to restriction and 12-31 is/are rejicalized to subject to restriction and 12-31 is/are rejicalized to subject to restriction and 12-31 is/are rejicalized to subject to restriction and 12-31 is/are per is/are objected to subject to restriction and 12-31 is/are per is/are allowed.	e withdrawn from consideration. ected. on and/or election requirement.	
 9) The specification is objected to by the 10) The drawing(s) filed on 18 June 2001 in the Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the specific state of the specific state of the specific sheet (s). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to the specific state of the specific	s/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand the correction is required if the drawing(s)	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
	ocuments have been received. ocuments have been received in Ap the priority documents have been a lal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTG3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	- ')/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) ·

DETAILED ACTION

1. This communication is a Non-Final Office Action in response to the amendment filed on January 23, 2006.

- 2. Claims 1-3, 5-10 and 12-31 are presented for examination following the amendment of January 23, 2006.
- 3. Claims 1-2, 5-10, 12-17, 19-22 and 24-30, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kessenich in view of Nishizawa.
- 4. Claims 3, 18, 23 and 31, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kessenich in view of Whitman.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on January 23, 2006 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3, 5-10 and 12-31 have been fully considered but found nor persuasive.

Application/Control Number: 09/883,623 Page 3

Art Unit: 2168

a. Applicant argues that the combination of Kessenich in view of Nishizawa does not teach the new added limitation of using the VBC to invoke a search execution business service. The Examiner however disagrees. Nishizawa clearly teaches the use of the VBC to invoke a search execution business service, please see (Figure No. 1, Element No. 106, 108, 109, 112 and 119 and the corresponding text, i.e. the application manager (106) is querying and using (108 and 109) the virtual table manager (112) that in turn is accessing the virtual table (119) which reads on the using of the VBC to invoke a search service).

b. Applicant argues that Nishizawa does not teach caching the query results in the search execution business service. The Examiner whoever respectfully disagrees. Nishizawa teaches caching the query results in the search execution business service (Col 2, Lines 52-64).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/883,623 Page 4

Art Unit: 2168

6. Claims 1-2, 5-10, 12-17, 19-22 and 24-30, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over John M. Kessenich (U.S. Patent No. 6,292,802 and Kessenich hereinafter) in view of Itaru Nishizawa (U.S. Patent No. 6,694,306 and Nishizawa hereinafter).

Regarding Claims 1, 6-7, 10, 13, 16, 24 and 27, Kessenich discloses:

receiving search criteria¹ entered by a user (Figures 4-7, Elements 400-416), wherein the search criteria includes at least one keyword (Figures 4-7, Element 416; see also Col 6, Line 66 through Col 7, Line 5);

searching a database for data record matching the search criteria (Col 7, Line 5-7 and Lines 12-14; see also Col 7, Lines 25-30);

generating search results comprising of the data records matching the received search criteria (Col 7, Line 14-16 and Lines 32-43); and caching the search result to maintain persistency of the search result (Col 18, Line 41-58).

Kessenich's reference discloses all of the claimed subject matter set forth above, except it does not explicitly indicate the feature of passing the received searched criteria to a virtual business component, using the VBC to invoke a search service nor does the reference teach the specific location for caching the results (in memory). However,

¹ Please note that Elements 400-416 of Figures 4-7 reads on the "criteria" limitation of claims 1, 10, 16 and 27; and also the type of search or the category of search, such as (symbols, keyword or files) (see Col 7, Lines 1-2) also reads on the criteria as a type of category of search. Elements 400-416 of Figures

Nishizawa teaches passing the received searched criteria to a virtual business component (virtual table), wherein the virtual business component representing a database (virtual table), please see Nishizawa (Figure No. 1, Element No. 106, 108, 109, 112 and 119 and the corresponding text, i.e. the application manager (106) is querying and using (108 and 109) the virtual table manager (112) that in turn is accessing the virtual table (119) which reads on the using of the VBC to invoke a search service); the Nishizawa reference also teaches caching the query results in memory (Col 2, Lines 52-64).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to modify the teachings of Kessenich with the teachings of Nishizawa to include the feature of using a virtual business component in order to allow easy and multiple accesses to a plurality of users to the same data from a plurality of remote servers.

Regarding Claims 2, 17, 22 and 28, Kessenich discloses wherein receiving search criteria further comprises receiving at least one search category (Col 7, Lines 1-2; see also footnote No. 1).

Regarding Claims 5 and 12, Kessenich discloses passing the received search criteria from the virtual business component to the search execution business service (Figure No. 1, Element No. 104; see also Col 6, Lines 3-6).

⁴⁻⁷ are parameters relating to the search similar to what's in the Specification of the current application (see Page 41, Lines 20-23).

Application/Control Number: 09/883,623 Page 6

Art Unit: 2168

Regarding Claims 8, 14, 19, 25 and 29, Kessenich discloses caching the search result until termination of a user session to maintain persistency of the search result (Col 18, Line 41-58)².

Regarding Claims 9, 15, 20, 26 and 30, Kessenich discloses listing the search results (Figures 4-7; see also Figure 10, Element No. 1010).

Regarding Claim 21, the limitations of this claim was addressed in rejecting

Claim 1, 4 and 5, as Claim 21 is a combination of the limitations of Claims 1, 4 and 5. It is therefor rejected as set forth above.

7. Claims 3, 18, 23 and 31, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over John M. Kessenich (U.S. Patent No. 6,292,802 and Kessenich hereinafter) in view of Ronald M. Whitman (U.S. Patent No. 6,772,150 and Whitman hereinafter).

Regarding Claims 3, 18, 23 and 31, Kessenich discloses all of the claimed subject matter set forth above, including the feature of refining the search result (Col 13, Lines 29-33); Kessenich doesn't explicitly indicate <u>using a keyword</u> in refining a search result; though the feature of refining search results are notoriously well known, yet the Examiner is incorporating a second reference for Whitman to leave no doubted that such a feature is unpatentable is it is well known in the art.

² Please note that the Kessenich's search is being performed through a web browser and the search results are being cached locally (Col 18, Lines 41-58) which inherently means that closing the web browser will terminate the cached results stored within.

Whitman discloses refining the search result based at least on one keyword (Col 3, Lines 45-48; see also Col 6, Lines 22-25). Given the intended broad application of Kessenich's system, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to modify the teachings of Kessenich with the teachings of Whitman to include the well known feature of using keywords in refining a search result as to further limit the search result to a more reasonable amount of returned results or records; the systems with such a feature would be more attractive to users to use and thus would lead to increase in profits as more users will be using such a system over another system that does not provide the ability to further limit a search result.

Points of Contact

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Haythim J. Alaubaidi whose telephone number is (571) 272-4014. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tim Vo, can be reached at (571) 272-3642.

Any response to this office action should be mailed to:

The Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231 or Faxed at our central fax number (571) 273-8300.

Art Unit: 2168

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to the Customer Service Window of the

Randolph Building at 401 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314

Patent Examiner Technology Center 2100

Art Unit 2168

TIM VO PRIMARY EXAMINER